



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/933,956

08/21/2001

Ramesh R. Sarukkai

324212009600

7521

76102 7590 07/31/2008
YAHOO C/O MOFO PALO ALTO
755 PAGE MILL ROAD
PALO ALTO, CA 94304

EXAMINER

WOZNIAK, JAMES S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/31/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/933,956	Applicant(s) SARUKKAI, RAMESH R.	
	Examiner JAMES S. WOZNIAK	Art Unit 2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) JAMES S. WOZNIAK. (3) Andrew Chen (Reg. No. 43,544).
 (2) Robert Saltzberg (Reg. No. 36,910). (4) Jian Chen (Reg. No. 55,053).

Date of Interview: 29 July 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ladd et al (US 6,269,336) and Uppaluru (US 5,915,001).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential differences between the applicant's invention and the teachings of the prior art of record for inclusion in a possible claim amendment. No specific claim language was agreed upon.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/James S. Wozniak/
 Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
 Attachment to a signed Office action.